

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,610	02/19/2004	Vladek Kasperchik	10004809-1	1622	
22879 HFWL FTT PA	7590 06/19/200 ACKARD COMPANY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS. CO 80527-2400			SHEWAREGE	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/783,610	KASPERCHIK ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Betelhem Shewareged	1794					

	Determent One waterged	1754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of t application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places it application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37 (avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		lucina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or	,,	,,						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-14 and 36-49</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-35</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
	/Betelhem Shewareged	,						
	Primary Examiner, Art U							
	r milary Examiner, Art o	int 1754.						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

CLAIMS 1-5, 7-13 and 49:

Claim 1 recited "---an additive configured for one of light stabilization, liquid resistance, or vapor resistance". The limitation "configured for one of light stabilization, liquid resistance, or vapor resistance" is drawn to intended use, and it has been held at a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed article is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed article form a prior at article satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Exparts Masham, 2 USPD2d 1647 (1987). The claimed invention does not expressly recite a light stabilizer additive, a liquid resistant additive or a vapor resistant additive. Neither does the claimed invention expressly recite a specific light stabilization value, a specific light resistance value. The claimed invention broadly recites addition of an additive in at least one of the layers; and Otaki teaches the addition of a colorant in at least the transparent film 203. The colorant of Otaki meets the claimed additive.

Alternatively, it is established that a colorant absorbs light, and light has a certain wavelength. It is also established that different colorants absorb light having different wavelength. Thus the colorant of Otaki is capable of absorbing light having a certain wavelength, thus absorbing the light having certain wavelength the product is protected from long-term degradation from exposure to light. However, if Applicant believes that the colorant of Otaki does not absorb light waterosever, Applicant is divided to provide crual evidence.

CLAIM 14

Even though the metal hologram of Coates is provided via sputtering and vacuum depositing, there is nothing that suggests or teaches the layer is not self supporting after it has been formed. It is noted that the type of metal of Coates is substantially identical to the type of metal of the claimed invention, and the thickness of the metal hologram of Coates is within the thickness of the claimed invention; therefore, the reference provides enough evidence to conclude that after the metal hologram of coates is formed, a metal foil would be created. The process by which the metal layer of Coates is formed does not show that a metal foil would not be created because the thickness of the metal hologram of Coates is within the thickness of the claimed invention and the type of metal of Coates is substantially identical to the type of metal of the claimed invention.

CLAIMS 36-48

In col. 2, lines 5-15 of Coates, there is nothing that teaches the metal layer is embossed. In col. 2, lines 16-26 of Coates, the metal layer is embossed. However, the method disclosed in col. 2, lines 5-15 of Coates and the method disclosed in col. 2, lines 16-26 of Coates are discreted to different embodiments of the invention of Coates. One does not teach embossing step, and the other teaches embossing step.